

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATÉ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,207	10/28/2003	Anthony J. Beavers	B73030C2 (015538/0000)	4516
20594	7590 03/16/2004		EXAM	INER
CHRISTOP	HER J. ROURK		BROCKETT	I, JULIE K
AKIN, GUM	P, STRAUSS, HAUER &	& FELD, L.L.P.		
P O BOX 688	,	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75313-0688		3713	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ne
	10/695,207	BEAVERS, ANTHONY J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Julie K Brocketti	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 October 2003.		
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-20 and 36-45 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-17,20 and 36-45 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			1).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number. In the amended specification, it lists priority to 10/116632, which is incorrect, the priority application is 10/116632.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application

designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Halaby, U.S. Patent No. 5,299,803. Halaby discloses a system for obtaining data for use in a table game system. A player position entry system comprises to or more keys corresponding to player locations at a table game. A cheque value system has a plurality of keys, wherein each key is associated with a cheque value. The cheque value system receives one or more cheque value selections and provides the cheque value selections to the game table (See Halaby Fig. 1; col. 4 lines 5-35).

Claims 13-15 and 36-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Soltys et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,460,848 B1. Soltys discloses a system for obtaining data for use in a table game system. A patron identification system receives patron identification data. The patron identification system comprises a card recognition system receiving a card and extracting the patron identification data from the card. The card is a magnetic stripe card (See Soltys col. 22 lines 43-49). A cheque value system is used for receiving one or more cheque value selections and providing the cheque value selections to the table game system (See Soltys col. 9 lines 26-62; col. 11 lines 32-42; col. 13 lines 16-23). A position system generates position data. The position system comprises an image system generating image data and a card detection system receiving the image data and generating card data from the image data (See Soltys col. 6 lines 36-38). A player detection system receives

the image data and generates player position data from the image data (See Soltys col. 22 lines 54-65). A wager system generates wager data. The wager system comprises two or more zone sensors generating zone data. A cheque value system receives the zone data and generates wager data from the zone data (See Soltys Fig. 13; col. 9 lines 54-62). The position data and the wager data are representative of positions and wagers at a table game in a casino (See Soltys Fig. 1). A payout system receives the position data and the wager data and generates payout data (See Soltys col. 13 lines 61-67). The payout system further comprises a blackjack, craps or roulette payout system (See Soltys col. 4 lines 43-46). The position system comprises a ball position system and a dice position system (See Soltys col. 24 lines 30-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soltys et al. in view of Halaby, U.S. Patent No. 5,299,803. Soltys et al. lacks in disclosing that the cheque value system comprises keys. Halaby teaches of a gaming table in which cheques are monitored on the table. The cheque value

system comprises a plurality of keys, wherein each key is associated with a cheque value (See Halaby Fig. 1, col. 2 lines 48-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of keys in the cheque value system of Soltys. By including keys, players do not have to physically touch the cheques, they can simply use the keys to wager their bet; therefore, by not having the players touch the cheques, added security is provided to the table game in that the players may not palm cheques or cheat in other ways by physically moving around the cheques.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soltys et al. in view of Hogan, U.S. Patent No. 6,267,671 B1. Soltys et al. lacks in disclosing a card entry device. Hogan teaches of a card entry device angled so as to allow an operator to easily provide a card to the card entry device. The card entry device receives account data from the card and provides the account data to the table game system (See Hogan Figs. 1-3; col. 7 lines 32-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a card entry device for receiving account data from the card in the invention of Soltys. By accessing account information from a player's card, the player does not have to carry around cash and all monetary transactions can be done through the card account. Therefore, the player and the casino do not have to be burdened with having to deal with cash.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record lacks in disclosing a housing containing a position entry system and a cheque value system in which the housing is placed over a drop slot of the table game.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Brocketti whose telephone number is 703-308-7306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg SPE can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,207

Art Unit: 3713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7